#### 1 INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

#### 1.1 Project Title

Crown Castle NG West (Crown Castle) – San Mateo County Project, Application No. 13-02-007

### 1.2 Lead Agency Name and Address

California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Energy Division 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, California 94102

#### 1.3 Contact Person and Phone Number

Jensen Uchida, Project Manager Energy Division 415.703.5484

### 1.4 Project Location

The approximately 14.22-mile route along Highway 1 (Hwy 1), on which the proposed project would occur, would be located within a public right-of-way (ROW) or existing utility easement within unincorporated San Mateo County, California. In addition to the County of San Mateo, the proposed project alignment would traverse an existing 0.5 mile utility easement in the publicly owned Año Nuevo State Park.

### 1.5 Project Sponsor's Name and Address

Crown Castle
800 Tasman Drive
Milpitas, California 95035
C/O Edward W. O'Neill and Kerry E. Shea
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
505 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94111–6533
415.276.6500

### 1.6 General Plan Designation

According to the County of San Mateo General Plan, the proposed project mainly traverses parcels designated Agriculture with several smaller areas designated as Public Recreation and General Open Space.

## 1.7 Zoning

According to the County of San Mateo's zoning designations, the majority of the existing zoning designations within the vicinity of the proposed project alignment include PAD/CD (Planned Agricultural District/Coastal Development). Publicly owned lands in the vicinity of the proposed project do not have zoning designations.

#### 1.8 Description of Project

The application and accompanying Proponent's Environmental Assessment (PEA) identifies the proposed project, which consists of installation of distributed antenna systems (DAS) network facilities, including fiber-optic cable, antennas, nodes, and related facilities along approximately 14.22 miles of existing highway ROWs, primarily along Hwy 1 in San Mateo County. Approximately 12.8 10.76 miles of the fiber-optic cable would be placed aerially on existing utility poles, and approximately 1.4 3.46 miles of new conduit would be installed underground within existing ROWs.

For further discussion, see Section 4, Project Description.

## 1.9 Surrounding Land Uses and Setting

Land uses surrounding the project alignment are rural and sparse including agricultural (e.g., farms, ranches, and nurseries); recreation (e.g., Año Nuevo State Park, camping/glamping<sup>1</sup>, public parking for coastal access); and small commercial uses and rural residences. The closest sensitive receptors, residences, are located as close as approximately 50 to 100 feet of the alignment, including residences at the end of Año Nuevo State Park Road and along Pigeon Point Road at the north end of the proposed project. The visitor center complex for Año Nuevo State Park is also located approximately 50 feet from the proposed project alignment.

Glamping = Glamorous Camping.

## 1.10 Other Public Agencies Whose Approval is Required

In addition to the Authority to Construct required by the CPUC for overall project approval and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review, Table 1-1 describes additional permits that the Applicant will likely be required to obtain for project implementation.

Table 1-1
Required Permits and Approvals

Permit/Approval/Consultation	Agency	Jurisdiction/Purpose					
State Agencies							
Encroachment Permit	California Department of Transportation	Construction, operation, and maintenance within, under, or over state highway ROW					
Local Agencies							
Use Permit and Building Permit subject to Coastal Development Review	San Mateo County Planning Department	Construction, operation, and maintenance within the coastal development zone.					

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



### 2 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

Aesthetics	Agricultural and Forestry Resources	Air Quality
Biological Resources	Cultural Resources	Geology/Soils
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	Hydrology/Water Quality
Land Use/Planning	Mineral Resources	Noise
Population/Housing	Public Services	Recreation
Transportation/Traffic	Utilities/Service Systems	Mandatory Findings of Significance

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



## 3 ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION

$\Omega$ n	tho	hacie	of this	initial	l evaluation:
<b>\</b> ////	HILE	DASIS	OI IIIIS	пппп	i evamamon:

I find that the proposed project COULD NOT I environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION		$\boxtimes$
I find that although the proposed project could be environment, there will not be a significant effect in the project have been made by or agreed to MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION with	et in this case because revisions to by the project proponent. A	
I find that the proposed project MAY have a signitand an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT		
I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact unless mitigated" one effect (1) has been adequately analyzed in a applicable legal standards, and (2) has been adebased on the earlier analysis as described on attached but it must analyze only the effects that remain to	on the environment, but a least an earlier document pursuant to dressed by mitigation measures thed sheets. An EIR is required,	
I find that although the proposed project could be environment, because all potentially significant adequately in an earlier EIR or ND pursuant to have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that revisions or mitigation measures that are impossible further is required.	effects (a) have been analyzed applicable standards, and (b) t earlier EIR or ND, including	
ry Jo Borak ergy Division	May 11, 2015 Date	

**DUDEK** 

California Public Utilities Commission

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

